

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 623-11

1911.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

(Muzrai)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Part B for July 16.

SUBJECT.

Alienation of the Devadaya Inam l
endowed to Sri Prana Devaru of Bargaunna's
temple at Davangere for Bhajantri Service
therein

To. Rev. Commr. Inam Supdt. Compt. to Govt.
Dy. Commr. Chitaldrug; Survey Supdt Copy to Cor. I
No. 297-301 of 24-7-16.

Grants certain Inam lands to the
temples at Davangere in exchange for
the temple lands now in possession of private
persons

W D 1351-GPB-20,000-13-12-13

D. R. Appadurai
Muzrai 13. 8. 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(muznai)

NOTES.

File No 623-11

FILE HEADING.

*Alienation of the Devadaya Inam Land
endowed to Sri Prana Devuru and Durgamma's
Temple at Davangere for Bhagantur Service therein*

From

The Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dist

No. 415 Dated 23 3 12 Received

Diary No. 11474

Enclos. 124 Spare Copies.

Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

*Recommends that the alienee may be required either
to pay Rs 500/- in cash, the interest being utilized
for Bhagantur Service in the Temples or he may be
permitted to vacate the land within 2 months -*

NOTES AND ORDERS.

*1) The Dy Commr's report clearly explains the facts
of the case -*

*2) Devadaya Inam Re-Survey no 39 measuring 9 8
with a govt of 12 annas attached to the temples of Sri
Pranadevaru and Durgamma for Bhagantur service -*

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial
No.

Therein, was unauthorisedly sold subsequent to the year 1876-7 to one Holchoum Rudappa. From the Jakman Sakta, it will be observed that the purchaser has raised a tiled roofed building on the land which is also used as a ^{wood store} place for country carts to stand. The Assistant Commr and gamabundy officer inspected the land and ordered a detailed enquiry. The Amildar reported that the sale deed said to have been presented to the Taluk office was not forthcoming and that no clue could be had in the Sub Registry office at Davangere as the sale deed was not registered. He solicited instructions for the resumption of the land.

3. The late Dy Commr Mr Bhengiah chetty directed the resumption of the land and also ordered that the purchaser should remove all the building materials within one month, a fine of Rs 57 being imposed for the appropriation of the land for purposes other than Agriculture. The fine was recovered, but the building has not been removed, and the land is in the enjoyment of the alienee as a building site.

4. The Dy Commr inspected the land and directed that it might be retained

212 2 1/2 1/2 1/2
27 29 3 19 10
W

Serial
No.

by the purchaser in exchange for a portion of S no 89 measuring ² ²/₃ ²/₉ ¹/₁₁ owned by him - This is proposed to be converted into Denadaya Enam and given to the Bhajantries attached to the Temples. The Temple land is well suited for building purposes on account of its proximity to the Town and to the Railway line, and the estimated value thereof is reported to be Rs 500/- The land proposed to be given in exchange is said to be valued at Rs 80/- The Dy Commr is of opinion that the purchaser may be required either to pay Rs 500/- in cash, the interest accruing thereon being utilized for Bhajantri service in the Temples or he may be permitted to vacate the land within 2 months from the date of service of notice on him, and obeys instructions of Govt in the matter.

Submitted for orders

Ans

22/6/12

RL

12/4/12

5 With reference to the Secy's Query "Where is the order of the Dy Commr?" it is respectfully submitted that no separate order of the Dy Commissioner

Serial
No.

is available, but the Amildar reports
the orders conveyed to him by the Dy
Commr on the spot (Vide Para 4 of
the Dy Commr's letter under reply).

Submitted for orders

By (132)
26/5/12 b Draft approving the Dy
Commr's recommendation. Mr. Kariappa's
statement taken.

ls
18/6/13.

798

7 Submitted with a dtft order. Kariappa and
two others have been examined by the
Amildar on 29th March 1910 and their
Submitted statements taken

By (132) 8/1
2.5.1913

8 Kariappa's statement.

only refers to the land which is the grm
in exchange. He will have to be asked
whether he is willing to purchase the temple
land outright and the price he will pay
for it. The Baijanti people may also be asked
whether they are prepared to take in exchange
the lands offered by Kariappa and what is
the comparative income of these lands

ls
11.6.13

9 Submitted with a dtft docket

By 12.6.13

10 June

ls 6.13
25

Serial
No.

2

410 The Dy Commissioner Chitalong DC.

DD 44 & 5.7.13

Inquires if the alienee is willing to purchase the lands and (2) if the Bhajantri people are prepared to take in exchange the lands offered by Revenue

Sum 3.

From, The Dy. Commr, Chitalong Distt

Letter no 27 of 14.7.1914.

Submits further report Called for in Sl. 2.

13 As regards queries nos. 2 and 3 raised in serial 2, it will be observed from the Vernacular records that the Bhajantri people are willing to take 2^a and 2^d of land out of survey no. 89 in exchange for S. no. 39 - The service, inam land alienated from the Temples, and that the annual income from S. nos. 89 and 39 is estimated to be Rs 35 and Rs 12 respectively, and that the estimated value of S. no 89 is Rs 30%.

14 As regards query no. 1, it will be seen that the alienee Rudrappa is dead, that Kariappa alias Rudriappa who agreed ^{in March 1910} to make over a portion of S. no. 89 to the Temples in question, now states that during the division

Vide Mahazari
17.3.1914.
R

f

L

Serial
No

of the family properties, some deed having been practised upon him, he is unwilling to transfer the portion of S. no 89 to the trustees, that he is willing to purchase the portion of S. no. 39 in his enjoyment at Rs 150/- per acre. The other hisedars say that they are unwilling to have since back S. no 39, as they have endowed it to a new temple of Eswara constructed by them, that in a Parikhat, they have set apart S. no. 89 and other lands for charitable purposes, that Rudrappa has ^{since} ~~for~~ ^{national} S. no. 89, that they will transfer $\frac{2}{2}$ of out of S. no 89 as agreed to by them, and that they will be responsible for future objections as regards this land - they add that S. no 89 is now in their Valimat; and that Karappa has no right to alienate this land intended for charitable purposes.

15 The Dy Commr ^{seems to} think that Rudrappa's contention is groundless. Submitted for orders

M 1157
20.8.1911

D. 2 File No. 623 of 1911.Serial
No.

16

Subd 67th member

17

Under the circumstances of the
can the proposal of the owners of S. no
39 be made in exchange ~~as~~ ^{equivalently}
~~equivalent~~ ^{large} extent in S. no 89 ~~can~~
be accepted. The present value of
the plots is Rs 500 in the first ~~can~~
and Rs 300 in the 2nd ~~can~~ but the
higher value in the former is due
to its being near the village and its
fitness as a building site. Actually
the income in the latter ~~can~~ is ~~strongly~~
lower than in the former. The
Bhajantris then values are entering
to accept the exchange.

18

The extent in S. no 89 may be
made into a separate S. no and
converted into ~~land~~ with an equivalent.
Jari Dandi and S. no 39 may be
converted into ~~unsharable~~ ^{shareable} ~~land~~ ^{land}, leaving
it to the B₂ cant. to levy such price
as he may think necessary for the
unauthorized erection of buildings or
if need be including the same in
the village site.

he

S. S. W.

19

The Bhajantris may

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.


File No. 628 of 1916.

Serial
No.

be given the exchanged land
but is not the temple some-
how to get 200 Rs the differ-
ence in value? Can this be
recovered from the person
in possession?

10/5/15 

to no Case para report from the
12/5/15 Mr. ... on this aspect of
the question

21.  12/5/15
2 Submd. with a Rpt.

MNS)
14.5.15 15.5.15
15/5

4 no : 2733 of 20.5.15 - 2 of land
Chitaldurg - calls for
further info re the disposal
of the Service drawn land alienated
from the Sec. ... in ... at
Davanagere -

From, The Dy Commr, Chitaldurg Distt
Letter no 373 of 2-2-1916.

Serial
No.

Submits further report called for in Serial 4.

28) The Dy. Commⁿ reports that with the single exception of Rudrappa alias Kariappa who was said to be penniless, the other alienees of inam S. no. 39 are unwilling to pay the difference in value of this land and portion of S. no. 89 proposed to be exchanged, that inam S. no. 39 also is to be endowed to the Govara Temple built by the ancestors of the alienees, that the acceptance of the offer of the exchange of a portion of S. no. 89 which brings an annual income of Rs 35% will be more profitable to the temple as the annual income from the alienated S. no. 39 is estimated at Rs 12% only. The Dy. Commissioner recommends that the exchange proposed may be sanctioned without any additional payment by the alienees and that the land viz portion of S. no. 89 may be ordered to be treated as an inam land to the Sri Prana Venkateswara and Durgamma's temple. The M. O. adds that S. no. 39 may be treated as an agricultural land fully assessed and the Khate made out.

Serial
No.

in the name of the new Esware Temple.
6-26) From, the Dy. Commr., Chitaldrug Dist.
Letter no. 444 of 8.3.1816.

Reports that the sum of Rs 200/-
being the difference in the estimated
value of the land in question, was
produced by the p^r Kariappa and
placed in deposit on the 24th Feby. 1816,
and that he has nothing more to add
regarding the bonafides of this man.

27) Subm^{td}. for order.

M(194) am
31.3.1816. 3/4/16

28) Govt. sanctioning the Dy.
Commr's proposal. Kariappa's offer
which is conditional and prejudicial
to the owner cannot be accepted.

See

13.6.16

29) Subm^{td}. with a Dy. order.

M(194)
29.6.1816.

82
4/5

Pr. I.

30) Put up clean draft. Can all.

See

15.8.16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 623 of 1911.

Serial
No.

31) Submitted with a clean dft. Branch I
 W/140 will be consulted after
 17.5.16 The fair dft is approved
 am
 19.5.16

Revis: 1496-15
 R.

32) Approved. Before submission
 52nd member detail question of 12.11.11
 etc

33) To Branch I for favour of Juman
 am
 26.5.16

LR
 26/5/16

34) The temple land S.no 39
 is decidedly more valuable
 than the portion of S.no 89 now
 offered in lieu of the former
 even though it be from a
 building point of view.
 If S.no 39 is inferior from
 an agricultural point of
 view nothing comes in the
 way of Govt selling it away
 for building purposes and
 buying another suitable
 agricultural plot instead.
 The objection is dismissed

File No. 623 of 1911.

Serial
No.

~~that S. no 89 is not more~~
 is ~~not~~ good unless it is a fact
 35) The title to S. no 89 has
 further to be examined
 before it is accepted by
 Govt to be treated as Inam;
 the alienation is unauthor-
 ized if not established
 except perhaps by mere
 possession. ~~The attitude~~
~~of the persons.~~ There seems
 to be no justification to
 deprive the temple in
 question of any possible
 benefit which it may
 derive from its own Inam
 lands. no 89 unless this
 S. no is actually made
 over for charitable
 purposes as represented
 submitted for orders.

Kyr

28/6/16

36) The alienation of the Dewasa-
 ya Service Inam land (S. no 39) ~~is~~
 no doubt unauthorized. It is liable

Serial
No.

to be cancelled. But as the alienees have agreed to make good the loss to the temple by offering another land to be treated as *dharm*, there seems to be no objection to the proposed exchange - In granting exchange of lands, the Survey valuation should be the same in both cases. In the present case the assessment of the alienated land is 12 annas while that ~~to be~~ of the land to be treated as *dharm* may be about 20 ~~of~~ the recommendation may be accepted.

30/6/16 } From a revenue point of view, so long as there is no difference between the assessment foregone by Govt, in favour of the temple in the two cases, there is no objection to the proposed exchange. This can be adjusted by a proportionate increase in the *Jodi* payable on the land proposed to be given in exchange.

(Dr VII)

Mon
37

Serial
No.

36) May the draft be issued after approved
by the 2nd Member of Council?

am
24/7/16 24/7/16 20 List. 11

2nd member
1st member

See
6.

1376
10.7.16
1/2 4/7

359
13.7.16

Handed this to the 2nd member for

am 11/7

See
12.7.

11
11/7
am
14/7

The subject relates to the
alienation of certain temple land
and has been dealt with as
Muzrai matter. As the present proposal
is to convert into a new piece
of alienated land, it has been
treated as a two-membered subject, the
file being sent in the first instance
to the member in charge viz 2nd member

See.

15.7.16

1st member

See. 11/7/16

Issue

See

14.7.16

487 am
19/7

4/7/16
17/7
Note that
this is correct

Serial
No.

7

Ms 297-301 of 24.7.16, to Restant,
Inam Supt, Compt. & Supt, of local
y chitaldwoog & Survey Supt &
Cops to For I, for present certain
Inam lands to Prana Dewane &
Durgamwade, Deceple at- da-
runcere in ^{exchange} ~~the~~ the temple
lands now in possⁿ of private
persons.

B

1474
1912

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldy District

1474
1011-1012
FROM *mur*
FILE NO. 623-11
SERIAL NO.

To
The Secretary to the Govt of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department
Dated 23.3.12. Bangalore
(Mysore Branch)
Despatched
Received
General No. ~~100~~ 415
No.
Enclosure No. *1716*

Seeking instructions in
the matter of the disposal of
Certain Devadara Gram lands
in Davanue Bank

1716
9

no 415
Eve 17/12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 23rd March 1912.

From

K. Chandu Esquire B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.,
Officiating Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
(Muzay) Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that during the course of Fuzur Jamabundy of 1907-08, it was brought to notice that the marginally noted Inam land in Davanagere Kasba was unauthorizedly sold to one Wolehonnur Rudrappa by the last holder thereof. The former having raised a tiled roofed building therein, Mr. Mahamed Raza the then Assistant Commissioner and Jamabundy officer inspected the land and ordered a detailed enquiry. The Amildar after due enquiry, reported that the sale deed said to have been presented to the Taluk office by the person in possession of the disputed land (one Mariappa) was not forthcoming and as the alleged sale deed was not registered, no clue could be had even in the Sub-Registry office at Davanagere. No further solicited instructions for the resumption of the land.

Devadaya Inam Re survey No. 33
measuring 1 acre and 16 guntas with
a jodi of Re 0-12-0 attached to the
Pranadevaru and Durganna's temples
at Davanagere for Bajantri service
therein.

2. On 2nd March 1909, Mr. H. Chengiah Chetty, the late Deputy Commissioner directed the resumption of the land and also ordered that purchaser should remove all the building materials within one month of Rs 5 being imposed for the appropriation of the land for other than agriculture,

3. The fine was recovered on 25th March 1910 after the issue of a notice of attachment of the moveable property belonging to the defaulter. But he has not yet removed the building as per order and the land is still in his enjoyment as a building site.

W 4. From the Amildar's report dated 20th March 1910 it will be seen that the Deputy Commissioner inspected the land and directed that it might be retained by the purchaser in exchange for a portion of survey No. 89 measuring 2 acres and 7 guntas owned by him. This is proposed to be converted as Devadaya Inam and given to the Bajantries attached to the temples referred to in para 1 supra.

22 ✓ 5. From the sketch files with the enclosed records it will be observed that the land in question is well suited for building purposes on account of its proximity to the town and to the railway line. The estimated value thereof is reported to be about Rs 500 as expressed by the municipal councillors of the town. (vide the minutes dated 28th November 1911) The land proposed to be given in exchange is valued at Rs 20, (vide copy of the sale deed furnished by the contending party himself) and it is therefore clear that the loss of the Inam land to the temples will not be adequately compensated by the alienee.

22 ✓ T 6. I am however of opinion that the purchaser may be required either to pay Rs 500 in cash which may be invested in Government of India Pro-notes and the interest realizable therefrom may be utilized for Bajantri service in the said temples or he may be permitted to vacate the land within two months from the date of service of notice on him. Instructions of Government are solicited in the matter.

7. The vernacular records as per pharist is herewith submitted for favor of perusal.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

K. K. Pandey
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

Re

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The D. C., Chitalong Dist.

Dated

5th July 1913

Despatched

Received

General No. 44

Muz No. 823-11-2

Enclosure No. 1 file

Adverting to his letter
no. 415 of 23rd March 1912
soliciting instructions in the
matter of the disposal of
the service inam land alien-
ated from the temples of Peana Den-
and Durgamma at Davang-
has the honor to observe
that the statement of the
alienee Rudrappa only refers
to the land which is to be
given in exchange for the
inam land, and to request
to be furnished with info-

the points noted below:-

- 1) Is Rudrappa willing to purchase the Temple land outright and if so, for what price?
- 2) are Bhajantri people prepared to take in exchange the lands offered by Rudrappa?
- 3) What is the comparative income of these lands.
- 2) The records are herewith turned for ref. and re-visit.

B-o.
Dec 30/6/13
For Hg. Secretary
RL
12.6.13

DIARY No. 93

19/4-1915
SECTION <i>Muzrai</i>
FILE No. <i>623-1</i>
SERIAL No. <i>3</i>

1914
From The Deputy Commissioner,
Mitalang District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Govt & Rev Departments
Muzrai Branch

Dated 14th } Bangalore.
Despatched } July 6, 1915

Received

General No. _____
No. _____

Enclosure No. one file

Furnishing certain
information in the matter of
the disposal of the service
inam land alienated from
the temples of Prana dewar
and Durgamma at Davanogere.

A. V.

No. 27
1710

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 13th July 1914.

From

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
C h i t a l d r u g.

To

D. M. Narasinga Row Esquire B.A., B.L.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments (Muzrai),
B a n g a l o r e.

S I R,

With reference to your letter No. 44/Muz 623-11-2 dated 5th July 1913, calling for certain information in the matter of the disposal of the service inam land alienated from the temples of Pranadevaru and Durgamma at Davangere, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Davangere Taluk reports as follows:-

2. That Rudrappa, referred to in the above letter is since dead, that all the other members of the family except Kariyappa alias Rudrappa whose contention is said to be groundless are willing to give 2 acres 2 guntas out of survey number 89 in exchange for survey number 39 and that they pray that the latter land may be left in their possession as they intend to allot this piece of land also to some charitable purpose i.e., to endow it to the Eswara temple built by their ancestors close to the said land.

3. The Bhajantri people are reported to be willing to take 2 acres 2 guntas of land out of survey number 89 in exchange for survey number 39. The annual income from survey numbers 89 and 39 is estimated to be Rs 35 and Rs 12 respectively.


4. The file of vernacular records ending with Davangere

Taluk Amildar's report No. 256 dated 20th May 1914, is resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,



Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

HL

S.V.

G. R. S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 93

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the

191

Date of issue 20. 5. 1915

Signed by Mr. B. Subba Rao

I further inform re the disposal
of the service income land alienated
from the Temple. File No. 623
Serial No. 4

No. 2733 Enrole.

To

The Dy. Comm^r,
Chitaldrug Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
no. 27 of 14th July 1914 recommen-
ding for acceptance the
proposal of the alienation
of income S. no. 39 endowed to
Sri Prana Venam and
Wangamma's Temple at
Wanganpore to cede in exchange
a slightly larger extent in
S. no. 89, I am directed to forward
over.

SUBJECT.

as
17/5

that ^{from} the mahazar dated .
 17th march 1814 received with
 the Vern. records, ^{it is learnt that} the present
 value of the plots is Rs 500
 in the case of S. no. 39 and -
 Rs 300 in the case of S. no. 89,
 and to request that you will
 be so good as to ascertain
~~the wishes of~~ ^{from} the alienees
~~and report to Govt., as to~~
 whether they are willing to
^{for the benefit of the temple}
 pay the difference in value
 viz Rs 200^{also} in addition to ~~the S. no. 89~~ the extent in S. no.
~~in exchange for the~~ ^{the S. no. 89} given in
~~for the amount.~~ exchange for the
 of the favor of a very alienated devadaya
 early reply is requested. has been in their
 by the records are herewith possession.
 returned for ref. and
 re-submission.

List of enclosures,

I have etc.

18th March 1814
 under 89
 In Off. Secretary.

Copied by

Examined by

1915-16

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

DIARY No. 1307
1915/16
SECTION mus
FILE NO. 623-11
SERIAL No. 5

Recommending that the ~~exchange~~ of the extent of Survey no 89 to be given in exchange for the alienated devadaya inam land may be sanctioned without any additional payment by the alienees & that the land viz S. no 89 may be ordered to be treated as an inam land to the Sri pranadevaru & Durzamma's temples at Davangere

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 2nd February 1916.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
C h i t a l d r u g .

To

W. R. Thyageraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

With reference to your letter No.2733 dated 20th May 1915, enquiring whether the alienees of inam survey number 39 endowed to Sri Pranadeveru and Durgamma temples at Davangere are willing to pay for the benefit of the temples at Davangere the difference in value viz Rs 200 in addition to the extent in survey number 89 to be given in exchange for the alienated Devadaya inam land in their possession, I have the honor to state that with the exception of one Rudrappa alias Kariappa, the marginally noted ~~other~~ hissedars (alienees)

1. Haleyyavvanavara Gurupadappa.	plead their inability to pay
2. ---Do--- Rudrappa's son Thippanna.	the difference in value of
3. ---Do--- Basappa.	survey number 39 and the
4. ---Do--- Thipperudrappa.	portion of survey number 89
5. ---Do--- Sivarudrappa.	
6. ---Do--- Siddaramappa.	proposed to be exchanged

therefor and represent that the land they are prepared to give in exchange is more valuable, being a fertile black cotton soil. One of the hissedars Rudrappa alias Kariappa (above referred to) who had at first declined to part with any portion of survey number 89 in exchange for survey number 39 has now stated that he is willing to pay the
rence in value viz Rs 200 provided he is put in possession of the
He has also presented a petition to me on the 25th January 1916.

other hissedars have already represented that this
has become penniless having squandered away all

his property, that they have therefore excluded him from having any control or concern in the management of the estate set apart for charitable purposes and that they would indemnify against all claims for the portion of survey number 89 which they offer in exchange for survey number 39. Therefore much value cannot be attached to the offer of this Rudrappa alias Kariappa's

3. Further it will be seen from the statement of the alienees dated 9th March 1914 enclosed in the records that this inam survey number 39 also is to be endowed to the Eswara temple built by the ancestors of the alienees and that the same has been set apart for the use of the travelling public as it is situated in close proximity to the temple and the well built by their ancestors at a large cost on the Bangalore-Dharwar road.

4. I am therefore of opinion that by accepting the offer of the exchange of a portion of survey number 39 which is estimated to bring an annual income of Rs 35 will be more profitable to the temple, as the annual income from the alienated survey number 39 is estimated at Rs 12 only. Survey number 39 was estimated at Rs 500 only on account of its close proximity to the town and its suitability for building purposes. In regard to this, it has to be observed that when agricultural land is used for non agricultural purposes, a heavy fine will be leviable under Rule 59 of the Rules under the Mysore Land Revenue Code, which may extend to Rs 500 per acre, as the land is situated within a radius of 3 miles from Davangere Railway station.


5. In these circumstances, I would recommend that the exchange proposed may be sanctioned without any additional payment by the alienees and that the land, viz portion of survey number 89 may be ordered to be treated as an inam land to the Sri Pranadevaru and Durgamma's temples. The alienated survey number 39 will be treated as an agricultural land fully assessed and may be registered in the name of the temple built by the ancestors of the alienees as prayed for by them.

The records are herewith retransmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,



Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

3.16 at 4.30 PM

DIARY NO. 1524

19/5/76
SECTION <i>misc</i>
FILE NO. 623-11
DATE 6

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Chital District.

To The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated

Despatched 8th March 1976.

Received

General No. *444*
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the sum
of Rs 200/- produced by the
petitioner Kariappa has
been received & placed
in deposit on 26th Feb 16, re
the exchange of a portion of
S. no 29 for the alienated Inam
S. no 29 endowed to Sri
Pranadavara and
Durgamma's temple
at Davangere

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 8th March 1916.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
CHITALDRUG.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

In continuation of my letter No. 373 dated 1st February 1916 re the exchange of a portion of S.No. 89 for the alienated Inam survey No. 39 endowed to SriPramadovaru and Durgamma's temples at Davangere, I have the honor to state that Rudappa alias Kariappa referred to therein having petitioned me for permission to pay the sum of Rs 200 on account of the difference in the estimated value of the lands in question as promised in his statement he was permitted to do so and that the sum of Rs 200 produced by the petitioner Kariappa has been received and placed in deposit on the 24th February 1916.

2. In this connection I beg to state that I have nothing more to add to what I have already stated in my letter No. 373 dated 1st February 1916 regarding the bonafides of this man.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

KL

26/7
G.R.S. 28]

Grant of certain land as inam to the
Pranadevaru and Durgamma's temples at
Davangere in exchange for the temple lands
now in possession of private persons.

File No. 623-1/

Serial No 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 1524

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.
()

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore*

READ—

, the 191 .

Correspondence ending with

letter No.444 dated 8th March 1916 from

the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug

Date of issue 24. 7-1916.

Signed by M. G. Anavamudren

District reporting on the exchange of a

~~ORDER THEREON.~~—No.

portion of Survey No.89 for the inam

Survey No.39 endowed to the Sri Prana

Devaru and Durgamma's temples at

Davangere now in the possession of

private persons.

over

SUBJECT.

Order thereon: No. 297-301 *En file d 24.7.1916.*
Mus 623-11-7

31
Re -
Devadaya inam ~~Registered~~ Survey

No.39 measuring A.1-16g. with a Jodi of
has been
12 annas ~~is~~ granted for Bhajantri Service
in the Sri Prana Devaru and Durgamma's
temples at Davangere. One Holehonnur
Rudrappa (since deceased) came into the
possession of the land under an alleged sale
and he erected on it a tiled building
and a cart stand without any permission
therefor. The matter having come to the
notice of the Deputy Commissioner, he ~~has~~ *imposed*
a fine and ordered the removal of the
structures, the resumption of the land ~~and~~
and its restoration to the temple.

2. The fine was paid but no attempt
was made to remove the structures, and the
owners have been offering in exchange
2 acres and 2 guntas out of Survey

No.89 in their holding to be converted
inam on behalf of
into the temple ~~inam~~. The Bhajantry

ts of the temple have no objection

the land in question

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

is expected to yield more.

3. As, owing to its proximity to the town, the market value of Inam Survey

No.39 (now to be exchanged) was estimated

at Rs.200/- ^{more} higher than that of the

~~other land~~ ^{in proposed to be given in exchange,} the owners were asked whe-

ther they were prepared to make good the

difference. They ~~now~~ ^{plead} their in-

ability to ~~pay this sum and advance as a~~ ^{do so}

~~reason that Survey No.39 itself is only~~

~~intended to be endowed to an Iswara temple~~

~~built by their ancestors and that Survey~~ ^{on the ground, among them,}

No.89 is really more valuable from an

agricultural stand point.

4. One Kariappa, ^a Hissedar ~~in~~ the

family properties has, however, ~~tended~~ ^{tended}

^{the sum of} Rs.200/- ~~the difference in the value~~

on condition of his being put in posses-

sion of the temple Inam, ^{while} ~~which~~ the other

^{repudiate} hissedars ~~repudiate~~ his action as ^{that of} coming

~~from~~ one who has been excluded in the

family arrangement from any control in

the management of the properties set apart

for charitable purposes. The Deputy
Commissioner recommends that the ~~exchange~~
may be accepted without further compensation
and that the amount conditionally tendered
by Kariappa may be returned to him.

5. Under these circumstances the
Government are pleased to sanction the
Deputy Commissioner's recommendations.

An extent of 2 acres and 2 guntas out of
Survey No.89 will be made into a separate

Survey No. and endowed to the temple in

question with a ^{Jare} ~~Jodi~~ Inam equivalent to

the existing amount. Inam Survey No.39

will be resumed by Government and ^{fully} assessed,

and granted to the existing owners as

^{land} ~~unalienated~~ The sum of Rs.200/-

conditionally tendered by Kariappa will be
returned to him.

leg
26/1/16
For Secretary to Government.
en Revenue Department.

To

- The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
- " Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug Dist. returning
the records.
- " the Government of Mysore.
- " Survey Superintendent.
- " Inam Superintendent in Mysore.